

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH
NATIONAL CENTER FOR RESEARCH RESOURCES**

**NATIONAL ADVISORY RESEARCH RESOURCES COUNCIL
MEETING MINUTES
February 12, 2009**

The National Advisory Research Resources Council convened its 141st meeting at 8:00 a.m. on Thursday, February 12, 2009, in Conference Room 10, Building 31, on the National Institutes of Health (NIH) main campus. Dr. Barbara M. Alving, director, National Center for Research Resources (NCRR), NIH, presided as chair. The meeting was open to the public until 12:30 p.m., at which time it was closed to the public for the review, discussion and evaluation of grant applications as provided in Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code, and Section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT

Dr. William F. Bria II	Dr. Henry Lewis III
Dr. Nancy J. Brown	Dr. Bettie Sue Masters
Ms. Wendy Chaite, Esq.	Dr. Mark V. Pauly
Dr. Valerie Copié	Dr. Thomas J. Rosol
Dr. Henry N. Ginsberg	Dr. Richard A. Rudick
Dr. James E. Heubi	Dr. Janet L. Smith
Dr. Roland F. Hirsch, Liaison Member, Department of Energy	Col. James A. Swaby
Dr. Dallas M. Hyde	Dr. Arthur W. Toga
Dr. Kevin B. Johnson	Dr. M. Roy Wilson
Dr. Joel Kupersmith	Dr. Tilahun D. Yilma

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT

Dr. James P. Collins, Liaison Member, National Science Foundation

SPECIAL INVITED GUESTS FOR OPEN SESSION

Dr. Brian D. Athey, University of Michigan
Dr. Gordon R. Bernard, Vanderbilt University
Dr. Paul Harris, Vanderbilt University
Dr. Anna Palmisano, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science
Dr. Steven E. Reis, University of Pittsburgh

STAFF OF OTHER NIH COMPONENTS

Ms. M. Virginia Wills, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

OTHERS PRESENT

Dr. Andrea Baruchin, Foundation for NIH
Mr. Jean-Paul Boucher, Auxis Management & Technology Solutions
Mr. Dane Christensen, Association for Clinical Research Training
Dr. Donna J. Dean, Lewis-Burke Associates
Mr. Rick Hansen, Digicom Corp.
Mr. Stephen J. Heinig, Association of American Medical Colleges
Mr. Adam Jones, PRTM Management Consultants
Ms. Urvashi A. Mehra, Pragmatics, Inc.
Mr. Ted Shoneck, Tunnell Consulting
Mr. Peter Sullivan, NRG Company
Dr. Irena Tartakovsky, Association of American Medical Colleges

OPEN SESSION

I. Call to Order: Dr. Barbara M. Alving, director, NCRR

Dr. Alving officially called the meeting to order and welcomed members and guests to the 141st meeting of the National Advisory Research Resources Council.

II. Consideration of Minutes: Dr. Barbara M. Alving

The minutes of the Council meeting held on September 16, 2008, were approved as written.

III. Report of the Director: Dr. Barbara M. Alving

A. Introduction of New Council Member and Council Member Update

Dr. Alving introduced Dr. Joel Kupersmith, the chief research and development officer of the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), who is representing the VA on the Council. He oversees the four main areas of VA research: biomedical labs, clinical science, health services and rehabilitation. Dr. Kupersmith is a Navy veteran and received his medical degree from New York Medical College and trained there in internal medicine. Subsequently, he completed cardiology training at Harvard's Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center. At Texas Tech University, he was the dean of the School of Medicine and Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, vice president for clinical affairs and CEO of the faculty practice. Dr. Kupersmith has been a Scholar-in-Residence at the Institute of Medicine and the Association of American Medical Colleges, and he has completed numerous projects and publications on health policy and research issues.

Dr. Alving congratulated Council member Dr. Nancy Brown on her recent appointment to the position of chief of the Division of Clinical Pharmacology at the Vanderbilt School of Medicine.

B. NIH's 2009 Darwin Evolution Revolution (EvoRevo)

Dr. Alving noted that today's meeting is on the 200th anniversary of the births of both Abraham Lincoln and Charles Darwin. She pointed out that Darwin is the name associated more with science, but in fact both men made important contributions to the field. In 1863, in the midst of the Civil War, Lincoln signed legislation establishing the National Academy of Sciences, which serves to investigate and report on any subject of science or art as charged by the government.

Dr. Alving reported that in celebration of Darwin's work and the 150th anniversary of the publication of *On the Origin of Species*, the NIH has created a year-long series of events and educational programs called the Darwin Evolution Revolution (EvoRevo). In support of EvoRevo, NCCR's Science and Education Partnership Award program has awarded six administrative supplements to the following individuals:

- Dr. Toby Citrin, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
- Dr. Carl Franzblau, Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts
- Dr. Louisa Stark, University of Utah, Salt Lake City
- Dr. Leonard E. Munstermann, Yale Peabody Museum of Natural History, New Haven, Connecticut
- Dr. Amber Vogel, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill
- Dr. John A. Pollock, Duquesne University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

C. NIH Leadership

Dr. Alving noted that Dr. Raynard S. Kington was named acting director of NIH on October 31, 2008, following the departure of Dr. Elias A. Zerhouni. Dr. Zerhouni has joined the Bill & Linda Gates Foundation as a senior fellow and serves on the board of the Lasker Foundation.

D. Budget Update

Dr. Alving reported that about \$500 million of NCCR's total FY 2009 budget of \$1.149 billion has been made available to NCCR under a continuing budget resolution in effect through March 2009. NCCR's FY 2010 budget request is \$1.16 billion, an increase of approximately \$11 million. These additional funds include support of the planned expansion of the Clinical and Translational Science Awards (CTSA) consortium. The president's 2010 budget will be released in April rather than the customary first Monday in February.

E. Clinical and Translational Science Awards

Dr. Alving explained that CTSA has had two application cycles this year, but in the future will have only a single annual application round. Today, the Council will review the first round of applications. It is expected that up to five new CTSA's will be funded by the end of 2009.

Dr. Alving reported that a new application called “federated access” will allow users to access multiple NIH-related Web sites with a single user name and password. The launch of federated access for the CTSA program will take place February 18 and will benefit about 1,500 users at 38 CTSA institutions, as well as 75 academic medical centers across the country.

F. Workshops and Meetings

Dr. Alving described a number of workshops and meetings that have just occurred or will be taking place in the next few months. She noted that although some of the workshops are designed primarily for the CTSA network, they are open to anyone.

Decision Making in T1 Translational Research

This workshop took place February 10-11, 2009, in Bethesda, Md. More than 300 investigators participated, including grantees from the CTSAs, National Primate Resource Centers, Research Centers in Minority Institutions, Biomedical Technology Resource Centers, NIH, Food and Drug Administration, as well as others. The group discussed problems, solutions and best practices as they explored ways to improve team science in T1 research. Topics raised included needs of young investigators, ways to incorporate advanced technologies and animal models into T1 research, improved mechanisms to manage intellectual property rights, better social networking and how to increase numbers of laboratory technicians.

CTSA Workshop: SNA to Evaluate Translational Collaboration

A CTSA workshop on social networking analysis (SNA) will be held February 25-27, 2009 in Sacramento, Calif. The workshop is supported by a CTSA administrative supplement from NCCR and represents a collaborative effort of several CTSA institutions, including UC Davis, University of Rochester, Case Western Reserve University, University of Washington, University of Pennsylvania, Northwestern University, and Columbia University. The workshop will provide hands-on instruction in the concepts, tools and techniques of SNA and a discussion on ways to apply this type of analysis in evaluating the CTSAs.

Pediatric Medical Product Development: Setting Specifications and Expectations

NCCR is sponsoring a CTSA Consortium Meeting on the Development of Pediatric Drugs and Devices on February 26, 2009. The goal of the meeting is to identify a set of specifications that a national child health clinical research infrastructure should address to effectively and efficiently develop drugs and medical devices for pediatric populations. Participants will explore the expectations of academia, industry, government and patient advocacy groups.

Technology Cores Workshop: Designs for Efficient Management and Utilization

NCCR will sponsor a workshop on July 14-15, 2009 at NIH entitled, “Technology Cores: Designs for Efficient Management and Utilization.” The purpose of the workshop is to

discuss methods for encouraging the optimum use of NIH-funded research cores and ways to provide access to core facilities to investigators who currently lack that access.

After describing the workshops, Dr. Alving outlined the following upcoming meetings.

National Technology Centers for Networks and Pathways — All Hands Meeting

March 12-13, 2009

Bethesda, Md.

RCMI Program Directors Meeting

March 14, 2009

Bethesda, Md.

Detection, Impact, and Control of Specific Pathogens in Animal Resource Facilities Workshop

April 23-24, 2009

Bethesda, Md.

8th Annual Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center Program Meeting

May 2009

Location to be determined.

Improving Health WITH Communities: The Role of Community Engagement in Clinical and Translational Research

May 14-15, 2009

Bethesda, Md.

NIH–NCRR SEPA Annual Program Director’s Meeting 2009

May 18-20, 2009

St. Paul, Minn.

Frontiers in Telemedicine: Improving Access to Evidence-Based Care

June 25-26, 2009

Bethesda, Md.

Technology Cores: Designs for Efficient Management and Utilization

July 14-15, 2009

Bethesda, Md.

G. Personnel Update

Office of the Director

- **Dr. Susan E. Old** joined NCRR in February 2009 as the senior advisor for translational research in the Office of the Director. Dr. Old will work with the director and NCRR divisions to catalyze T1 translational activity in CTSA by fostering

collaborations among the CTSA and the IDeA programs, the Biomedical Technology Research Centers (BTRC) program, and other NIH and nongovernment sector programs. Before joining NCCR, Dr. Old was the acting deputy director for the Division of Cardiovascular Diseases at the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

Division of Biomedical Technology

- **Dr. Liming Yang** joined the Division of Biomedical Technology in October 2008 as a health scientist administrator. He manages a portfolio of P41, R01 and R43 grants on computational biology, software development and genetic studies. Before joining NCCR, Dr. Yang was associate director of Biomedical Informatics at the National Cancer Institute's Center for Bioinformatics.

Division of Research Infrastructure

- **Dr. Regine Douthard** joined the Division of Research Infrastructure (DRI) in September 2008 as a medical officer. She helps to manage both the Research Centers in Minority Institutions (RCMI) and the Institutional Development Award (IDeA) programs. Before joining NCCR, Dr. Douthard was a program officer and health technical advisor with the Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief for the Global HIV/AIDS Program within the HIV/AIDS Bureau at the Health Resources and Services Administration.
- **Ms. Kesi Howell** joined DRI in December 2008 as a program analyst. She works across all DRI programs, with the primary responsibilities of data management and program management of grants in the IDeA, RCMI and Research Facilities Improvement programs.

Office of Administrative Operations

- **Ms. Zintesia A. Page** joined the Office of Administrative Operations in December 2008 as a management analyst. Ms. Page's primary responsibility is to develop and maintain NCCR-specific policies. She will serve as the risk management champion and the coordinator of telework and records management.

Office of Communications

- **Ms. Cindy McConnell** joined NCCR in October 2008 as the director for the Office of Communications. She will develop, implement and supervise strategies to effectively facilitate communications about NCCR's mission, programs and achievements. Before joining NCCR, Ms. McConnell was the senior director of membership, development and communications at Research!America.

Office of Grants Management

- **Ms. Quadira R. Huff** joined the Office of Grants Management (OGM) as a division coordinator in October 2008. She is the operational liaison and provides support to three of the scientific divisions served by the OGM. Before joining NCCR, Ms. Huff was a senior grants management specialist at the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.
- **Ms. Dawn E. Walker** joined the OGM as a grants management specialist in January 2009. She works with program staff and grantee institutions in reviewing grant mechanisms within her assigned grant portfolio. Before she joined NCCR, Ms. Walker was a contractor at the National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities.
- **Mr. Gavin T. Wilkom** joined the OGM in September 2008 as a supervisory grants management specialist for a team of seven grants management specialists and is responsible for the day-to-day technical direction of the team. Before joining NCCR, Mr. Wilkom was a senior grants management specialist at the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke.

Office of Information Technologies

- **Ms. Yuliya Shifrin** joined the Office of Information Technologies in September 2008 as an information technology specialist. Ms. Shifrin implements and maintains NCCR's Intranet and Internet infrastructure. Prior to joining NCCR, Yuliya was an Information Technology Specialist at the Center for Scientific Review.

Office of Review

- **Dr. Lee W. Slice** joined the Office of Review as a health scientist administrator in December 2008. He is responsible for several Special Emphasis Panels across all divisions. Before joining NCCR, Dr. Slice was an associate professor in the Department of Medicine's Division of Digestive Diseases at the David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles.

Office of Science Policy

- **Dr. Lisa Lucio Gough** joined the Office of Science Policy in December 2008 as a science and technology policy fellow at the American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). Before being selected as an AAAS Fellow, Dr. Gough worked as a student affairs officer in the Office of Graduate Studies, University of California, Davis.

H. NCRRC “Spotlight” Retreat

Dr. Alving announced that NCRRC has created a brief retreat forum called a “Spotlight,” in which staff across all of NCRRC will focus on a specific issue of interest to the organization.

The first NCRRC Spotlight will be held February 20, 2009 on communications, outreach and networking with NCRRC’s target audiences. The participants will identify the best networking tools to facilitate information management and meeting collaboration. The staff also will discuss way to reach out across NCRRC, NIH, research and professional communities, media, and Congress to increase awareness of NCRRC programs and its impact.

I. Women in Biomedical Research

Dr. Alving announced the publication of *Women in Biomedical Research: Best Practices for Sustaining Career Success*, the proceedings of a March 2008 workshop cosponsored by NCRRC, the Office of Research on Women’s Health, and the NIH Working Group on Women in Biomedical Careers. The proceedings, which Dr. Alving co-chaired, include best practices for retaining and supporting women in diverse settings, including business, the military and academic medical centers. Dr. Alving reported that data have shown a high dropout rate for women after they complete work funded by their first R01 grant. Noting that 50 percent of the trainees in the CTSA program are women, Dr. Alving stressed the importance of retaining that talent pool.

IV. [Enhancing Peer Review — Highlights of Changes Effective for September 2009](#) [Council: Dr. Sheryl K. Brining, director, Office of Review, NCRRC](#)

Dr. Brining explained that significant changes in scoring of grant applications are taking place across all of NIH. She highlighted changes that the Council will find in the grant applications to be reviewed at its September 2009 meeting. The range of reviewer scores will be 1–9 instead of 1–5, and scores will be given only in whole numbers. The average of all reviewers’ scores on each application will be multiplied by 10, resulting in score of 10–90. In light of this, it is more likely than in the past that application scores will be tied.

A new term to be used for scoring is “impact/priority,” and reviewers will be encouraged to consider the impact of proposed projects. Dr. Brining said that the NIH database used to create the application summary statements is designed on the R01 model, which captures only five criterion scores. As a result, reviewers will have the option of scoring a maximum of five specific criteria, such as significance, investigator, innovation, approach and environment, using numerical scores of 1–9. NCRRC is assessing all of its grant programs to determine how to adapt NCRRC mechanisms to the new systems.

In the new peer review process, reviewers will be instructed to focus on strengths and weaknesses and to refrain from giving advice. Each reviewer's critique may start with a table of criterion scores.

Dr. Brining presented a list of members of the NIH Peer Review Oversight Committee and provided the Web site (<http://enhancing-peer-review.nih.gov>) for further information.

V. **Biological and Environmental Research: Dr. Anna Palmisano, associate director of the Office of Science for Biological and Environmental Research, U.S. Department of Energy**

Dr. Palmisano presented an overview of the Office of Biological and Environmental Research (BER), one of six program offices in the Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science. Dr. Palmisano focused her presentation on one of BER's four priorities — developing new tools to explore the interface between biological and physical sciences. She reported that the Office of Science has oversight of 10 of the 17 DOE National Laboratories.

As examples of biomedical research programs supported by BER, Dr. Palmisano described approaches used at the three bioenergy research centers to develop methods to convert plant cellulose to ethanol and other biofuels, and she described the activities related to "Genomics: GTL Program" (formerly Genomes to Life), which explores microbes and plants at the molecular, cellular and community levels.

She also discussed the BER National User Facility called the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) in Walnut Creek, Calif. JGI partners with five other institutions to provide high-throughput genomic sequencing and analysis for the scientific community and also hosts workshops and conferences that advance the science and encourage interaction among investigators.

BER's low-dose radiation research program uses advances in genomics and molecular biology to study radiation risk, providing evidence needed to develop policy for clean-up of nuclear waste. In addition, BER is developing new radiochemistries to synthesize and radiolabel new molecular probes, as well as new imaging and instrumentation tools for real-time high-resolution imaging.

Dr. Palmisano described a number of DOE-supported resources that are available to researchers. Synchrotron light sources are in place at four national laboratories, and three high-flux neutron beam sources are available at two national laboratories. Among the more than 60 capabilities available to the scientific community at the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory are advanced mass spectrometers for proteomics and several very high field nuclear magnetic resonance spectrometers. In addition, the national laboratories provide computing resources for scientific research that are among the most advanced in the world.

BER partners with DOE's Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research to provide grants for research projects through SciDAC (Scientific Discovery through Advanced Computing) and INCITE (Innovative and Novel Computational Impact on Theory and Experiment).

Dr. Palmisano concluded by emphasizing that the partnership with NCCR is highly valued by the DOE, and her goal is to build on this collaboration.

VI. [DOE & NCCR — Interagency Collaboration for Development of Biomedical Technology](#): Dr. Douglas M. Sheeley, health scientist administrator, and Dr. Amy L. Swain, health scientist administrator, Division of Biomedical Technology, NCCR

Dr. Sheeley reported on how NCCR leverages DOE resources for biomedical research. Most of the interactions between NCCR and DOE take place through the NCCR's Biomedical Technology Research Centers (BTRC) program, which has the goal of converting discoveries made in the physical sciences into useful tools that help advance biomedical research and ultimately may impact healthcare. Nine of the 52 BTRCs supported by NCCR are located at DOE national laboratories. Dr. Sheeley said that the interdisciplinary teamwork and the infrastructure of the National Laboratories contribute greatly to the success of the BTRCs.

BTRCs are classified into five broad technology areas: imaging technology, informatics resources, optics and laser technology, technology for structural biology and technology for systems biology. Dr. Sheeley focused his presentation on some of the specific resources and research advances at three BTRCs that provide technology for systems biology: the National Flow Cytometry Resource at the Los Alamos National Laboratory in New Mexico, the National Resource for Biomedical Accelerator Mass Spectrometry at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory in California, and the Proteomics Research Resource Center for Integrative Biology at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory in Washington.

Dr. Swain focused her presentation on synchrotron X-ray technology, which produces extremely intense beams of radiation that can reveal structural details at the level of atoms and molecules. NCCR supports seven BTRCs at synchrotrons, six of which are located at DOE National Laboratories. Through a Cooperative Stewardship model, DOE funds the construction and operation of the synchrotron particle storage ring facilities, while partners such as NIH pay for building and operating the X-ray beamlines and experimental stations. Three synchrotron BTRCs are jointly sponsored with the DOE's BER, allowing them to provide beamline access to a larger and broader community, resulting in greater impact.

Dr. Swain described some of the advanced synchrotron technologies and outlined the scientific mechanisms and some translational applications of macromolecular crystallography, X-ray absorption spectroscopy, X-ray scattering, X-ray microscopy and fiber diffraction.

Dr. Swain pointed out that synchrotron biomedical research has significant impact in numerous areas of translational research, citing as examples the exploration of DNA transcription, contributions to the NIH Protein Structure Initiative, and the design of new medicines. She concluded her presentation by noting that although NCRR and BER have different missions, they enhance the impact of their programs through collaboration.

VII. [Update on CTSA Activities — Strategic Plan](#): Dr. Gordon R. Bernard, principal investigator (and co-chair of the CTSA Consortium Steering Committee), Vanderbilt University; and Dr. Steven E. Reis, principal investigator, University of Pittsburgh

Dr. Reis explained that the CTSA consortium has traditionally used subcommittees of content experts to address challenges and work on projects. However, as the CTSA program matured, the consortium recognized that a strategic plan was needed to identify national priorities and develop a unified approach to address them. The strategic planning process began in April 2008 with the identification of 12 initial goals. Broad input about these goals was then sought, and in October 2008 a mission statement and four strategic goals were finalized. The mission statement reads: “The goal of the Clinical and Translational Science Award program is to improve human health by transforming the research and training environment, thereby enhancing the quality and efficiency of clinical and translational research.”

Dr. Bernard presented the four strategic goals finalized in October and outlined the near-term plans to address them. Goal 1 is to enhance the national capability for clinical and translational research. One of the first tasks undertaken by the committee assigned to Goal 1 is designed to reduce the time needed to initiate a protocol by developing metrics to be applied in contracting and in Institutional Review Board reviews. Goal 2 is to enhance the training and career development of clinical and translational scientists. A Web portal for open access to sharable training resources is being created to achieve this. Goal 3, to enhance consortium-wide collaborations, will be accomplished by creating a national resource inventory, establishing a data-sharing network, and initiating social networking to link scientists with each other. Noting that informatics tools are needed to foster collaboration, Dr. Bernard described several cross-institutional informatics projects already in place. He emphasized that although such resources are initially used within the CTSA consortium, they will ultimately be available to all researchers. Goal 4, to enhance the health of our communities and the nation, is being addressed by developing model methodology for comparative effectiveness research, for health policy and health services research, and for linking a community-based research network.

VIII. [CTSA Informatics Key Function Committee Update](#): Dr. Brian D. Athey, professor of biomedical informatics, University of Michigan; Dr. Paul Harris, associate professor, Vanderbilt University; and Dr. Elaine S. Collier, assistant director, Division for Clinical Research Resources, NCRR

A. Key Function Committee Overview

Dr. Athey described the process the CTSA Informatics Key Function Committee (IKFC) used to identify areas and projects of highest priority to CTSA informatics directors. A completed project template for each informatics project facilitates online tracking and communication using the CTSA Informatics Wiki. The IKFC has been proactive and engaging the CTSA principal investigators (PIs) through their very active CTSA PI liaisons to ensure their priorities are aligned with CTSA Strategic Goal priorities.

Dr. Athey reported that several IKFC projects overlap with overall CTSA priorities: exchanging data sharing frameworks and models, providing a portal for sharing information about informatics resources at CTSA, developing a national recruitment registry, defining informatics competencies for training of clinical and translational scientists and developing an informatics infrastructure for research networking.

B. Subject Participant Recruitment Portal

Dr. Harris reported on the national volunteer research Web portal being developed at Vanderbilt University. The public side of the Web site will guide users to enter demographic and health information, thereby creating a database that researchers can search to help in identifying study participants.

Dr. Harris described Vanderbilt's six-year-old registry that has served as a prototype for developing the national portal. He noted that even though the Vanderbilt University site has not been promoted, it includes information for about 5,000 individuals.

For the national recruitment portal, each participating CTSA is appointing a liaison with responsibility for local Institutional Review Board awareness and for promoting the registry among the public and researchers. The liaisons will begin having monthly meetings in March 2009, and the project launch is expected in midsummer.

C. Informatics Pilot Projects

Dr. Collier reported on three informatics pilot projects recently funded by the National Center for Research Resources. These projects, which total \$4 million over two years, are focused on providing tools for scientists conducting small to medium-sized clinical studies. The NIH Roadmap Program for Reengineering Clinical Research is a partner in support of these projects.

University of Washington — in collaboration with three other CTSA institutions — is extending Harvard's i2b2 software to support multi-institutional querying of clinical data repositories. Vanderbilt University — with Mayo Clinic and Oregon Health and Sciences University — is extending REDCap, a widely adopted and freely available tool for managing clinical studies, to support standards, sharing of case report forms, and additional types of studies. Case Western Reserve University — with four other

institutions — is developing Physio-MIMI to help investigators manage many complex and diverse types of data across institutions and various studies.

IX. Report from the IDeA Eligibility Working Group: Dr. Fred Taylor, health scientist administrator, Division of Research Infrastructure, NCCR; and Dr. Valerie Copié, associate professor of biochemistry, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Montana State University

Dr. Taylor summarized the history and activities of the IDeA program, which is intended to enhance the geographic distribution of NIH funding. He presented a map showing the 24 states and territories currently eligible to participate in the program and described the two main activities that IDeA supports: Centers of Biomedical Research Excellence (COBRE) and IDeA Networks of Biomedical Research Excellence (INBRE).

A working group was recently convened to consider options for eligibility criteria and activities in the IDeA program. Dr. Copié, who represented the Council together with Dr. Richard A. Rudick and Dr. Kevin B. Johnson, reported the group's findings and recommendations. Under the current eligibility criteria, states are eligible if their success rate in obtaining NIH research awards was less than 20 percent during 2001–2005, or if they had an average of less than \$120 million in NIH funding during 2001–2005 (excluding IDeA awards and research and development [R&D] contracts). The working group found that grant success rates are no longer valid indicators of the needs of states and that continuing to use these criteria would not be consistent with the goals of the IDeA program. In light of this, the working group recommends the following:

- Eliminate success rate as an eligibility criterion, and — for the present time — maintain the threshold of \$120 million in funding as a criterion.
- Use only NIH funding (exclusive of IDeA and R&D contract funding) as a criterion for eligibility.
- Continue discussions about future programmatic directions.

X. Centers of Biomedical Research Excellence (COBRE) Phase III — Transitional Centers: Dr. Fred Taylor, Division of Research Infrastructure, NCCR

Dr. Taylor summarized the history and goals of the COBRE program, which is intended to expand biomedical faculty research capability and enhance the research infrastructure in institutions within IDeA-eligible states. COBRE currently provides support for two sequential five-year periods. Phase I supports the development of and research conducted by junior investigators, and Phase II continues to support and mentor junior investigators, allows research support for more established investigators, and provides mechanisms to reward mentorship and to encourage long-term commitments of more established investigators to the mentoring mission of COBRE.

Dr. Taylor presented a concept proposal to add a third phase to the COBRE program. The proposed Phase III would not support research but would help maintain core resources such as supplies, service contracts, management and equipment upgrades. The funding

proposed for Phase III is \$500,000 per year for five years, including management and administration. The Council approved going forward with further development of a Phase III in the COBRE program and recommended increasing the award amount to \$750,000 (total costs per year) and providing support for pilot projects.

XI. [NCRR FY 2007 and FY 2008 Biennial Report on Population Tracking](#): Dr. Shelia A. McClure, health scientist administrator, Division of Research Infrastructure, NCRR

Dr. McClure presented NCRR's report on population tracking for FY 2007 and FY 2008. She reviewed NIH policy on the inclusion of women and minorities in clinical research, explaining that NCRR is required to track data and report every two years on compliance with the policy. In FY 2007, NCRR reported 226 protocols (182 with enrollment) of which 72 percent of the participants enrolled were women; 34 percent were members of minority groups; and 44 percent were Hispanic/Latino. In FY 2008, NCRR reported 197 protocols (176 with enrollment) of which 77 percent of the participants were women; 29 percent were members of minority groups; and 25 percent were Hispanic/Latino.

The Council approved submitting the NCRR population tracking report for inclusion in the NIH Director's Report to document compliance with the policy.

XII. Recognition of Retiring Council Members: Dr. Barbara M. Alving, director, and Dr. Louise E. Ramm, deputy director, NCRR

Dr. Alving and Dr. Ramm recognized Drs. Bettie Sue Masters, Arthur W. Toga, and Tilahun D. Yilma for their service to the Council and presented them with plaques.

CLOSED SESSION

This portion of the Council meeting was closed to the public in accordance with the determination that it concerned matters exempt from mandatory disclosure under Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code, and Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. Appendix 2).

Council members discussed procedures and policies regarding voting and confidentiality of application materials, committee discussions, and recommendations. Members absented themselves from the meeting during discussion of and voting on applications from their own institutions or other applications in which there was a potential conflict of interest, real or apparent.

XIII. Application Review

The Council reviewed 310 applications (with total direct costs of \$216,673,354). The Council concurred with the review of all applications.

ADJOURNMENT

The Council adjourned at 2:23 p.m. on February 12, 2009.

CERTIFICATION

We hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge, the foregoing minutes and supplements are accurate and complete.

Dr. Barbara M. Alving
Chair, National Advisory Research Resources Council
and
Director, National Center for Research Resources, NIH

Date

Dr. Louise E. Ramm
Executive Secretary, National Advisory Research Resources Council
and
Deputy Director, National Center for Research Resources, NIH

Date